

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action mailed January 29, 2004, the examiner states that there is nothing to define the "longitudinal direction" of the circulating element relative to the "cooling element." Applicant respectfully disagrees. Claim 17 states that the cooling element is bonded to the first circulating element and that the angle of the cooling element to the longitudinal direction of the first circulating element is substantially equal in magnitude to the angle of the louvers to the surface of the cooling element. Thus, in the event that the louvers are at an angle of about 45° to the surface of the cooling element, as shown in FIGS. 3a and 3b, the cooling element is disposed at an angle of about 45° to the longitudinal direction of the first circulating element.

In reply to the communication mailed May 21, 2004, applicant has designated claims 22-26 as withdrawn, as called for by the examiner. Although claim 27 is dependent on the withdrawn claim 26, claim 27 is in fact a new claim and has not been withdrawn from consideration by the examiner.

Respectfully submitted,




John Smith-Hill
Reg. No. 27,730

SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.
12670 NW Barnes Road, Suite 104
Portland, Oregon 97229

Tel. (503) 574-3100
Fax (503) 574-3197
Docket: OUTO 2326

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.


John Smith-Hill

6/3/04
Date